I heard Milton debate on many occasions. He typically destroyed his opponents in those debates—destroyed the case but not the person. His method was simple but always brilliantly executed. “Do you agree with these goals?” Answer: “Yes.” “Do you agree with these propositions from economic theory?” (An example would be that demand curves slope downward.) Answer: “Yes, I agree that demand curves slope downward.” “Here are the facts as I see them—X, Y, and Z. So, given that we agree on the goals, we agree on economic theories A, B, and C, facts X, Y, and Z, then logic takes us to these conclusions.”

I heard more than one debate opponent, not liking the conclusions, tell Milton that “you are
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taking logic too far.” Milton would reply, “That is the only place you can take logic. If you do not like the conclusions, you’ll have to find different premises.”

Many have noted Milton’s prowess in debate. I would add that he attacked his opponents’ logic, or illogic, and not their person or motives. I know that he often believed that there were hidden motives or goals behind policy positions he did not accept, but in my experience, although he might discuss hidden motives in general, he would not try to ascribe them to a particular debate opponent. He kept debate on a high, impersonal level; he focused on ideas and logic and not persons.

When I went to the University of Chicago for graduate study in 1959, I audited Milton’s price theory course my first semester and later became a member of his justly famous Money Workshop. Milton pushed his students, but was quite patient with them. He would pause in his presentations, seeking feedback and questions and engaging in conversation, trying to be sure that students understood whatever point he was making. Unlike some other professors I had over the years, he never made jokes at a student’s expense. Occasionally he became exasperated with a student who continued with some inane argument and his exasperation would show. He was very generous with his time and as a student I made good use of his office hours.

Milton was on leave during my thesis-writing year at Chicago, but he got me started on my topic, the Canadian experience with floating exchange rates. For some years after I left Chicago, Milton would comment on drafts of papers I sent him. He was a mentor and I greatly appreciated the time he took to help me sharpen my analysis.

I was privileged to be Milton’s student and to have maintained contact with him over a span of almost 50 years. We have lost a giant intellect and a fine human being.