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Description

The Federal Reserve (the Fed) and its monetary policy tools have a significant presence in economics standards, textbooks, and curricula. The Fed has changed the way it implements monetary policy, but many of the recent changes are not reflected in teaching resources. This special issue of Page One Economics® is intended to provide information and teaching guidance for educators as they transition to teaching about the new tools of monetary policy.

“*The Federal Reserve sets two overnight interest rates: the interest rate paid on banks’ reserve balances and the rate on our reverse repurchase agreements. We use these two administered rates to keep a market-determined rate, the federal funds rate, within a target range set by the FOMC.”* —Jerome Powell, Chair of the Federal Reserve

Introduction

The Federal Reserve, the central bank of the United States, has a Congressional mandate to promote maximum employment and price stability. While those goals were articulated in 1977, the approach and tools used to implement those objectives have changed over time. Before the Financial Crisis of 2007-09, the Fed implemented monetary policy with limited reserves in the banking system and relied on open market operations as its key tool. Today, the Fed implements monetary policy with ample reserves and relies on one of its administered rates, interest on reserves (IOR), as its primary tool. These changes might seem subtle, but the current framework is very different from the previous one. This article provides an overview of how policy has changed, with useful distinctions and guidance for anyone who teaches about the Federal Reserve and monetary policy.

Teaching Monetary Policy

The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) of the Federal Reserve sets the stance (position) of monetary policy to guide employment and prices (inflation) in the desired direction. Figure 1 shows the chain reaction of how the stance of monetary policy is transmitted through financial markets and ultimately affects economy activity. This article focuses on
the change in the choice of the level of reserves to supply to the banking system and the monetary policy tools used before and after 2008 that affect the first link in the chain: that is, how the Fed ensures that when the FOMC sets a particular federal funds target range (Box 1 in Figure 1) that this level is also reflected in current and expected short-term interest rates (Box 2). Within the Fed, this change in tools reflects a change in what they call their “implementation regime.” Here we will talk about it as a change in the Fed’s policy implementation framework.

As Figure 1 shows, current and expected short-term interest rates influence long-term interest rates and overall financial conditions (Box 3). Those rates and conditions then influence consumers’ and producers’ spending decisions (Box 4)—whether they spend money or save money. Those spending decisions then affect overall spending, investment, and production, which affect employment and inflation (prices).

For the public, the way the Fed implements policy is generally not evident in their everyday lives. FOMC policy actions, however, are designed to steer the economy toward maximum employment and stable prices. Because monetary policy affects the economy, the way it is implemented is important to include in textbooks, standards, and curricula. And because policy can and has changed faster than these materials are updated, it is important for educators to understand the changes and adjust their instruction to explain them.

**An Overview of the Old Framework: Monetary Policy with Limited Reserves**

Prior to 2008, the Fed used a framework in which it supplied a limited amount of reserves to the banking system. Traditionally, total bank reserves are composed of two types: (i) **Required reserves** are funds that a depository institution must hold in reserve against specified deposits as vault cash or deposits with Federal Reserve Banks. (ii) **Excess reserves** are funds that a depository institution holds in its account at a Federal Reserve Bank in excess of its required reserves balance.

Banks held reserves to meet the Fed’s regulatory **reserve requirements** and to ensure they had adequate funds to meet the banking demands of their customers. Because reserves earned no interest, banks tended to hold just a bit more than what was needed to meet their reserve requirements. When banks needed extra reserves to meet their demands, they would borrow reserves in the federal funds market. Or, if banks had excess reserves, they could lend them in the federal funds market. In terms of market participation, banks that lent money acted as suppliers of reserves in the federal funds market, and banks that borrowed money acted as demanders of reserves in the federal funds market. This interaction between supply and demand determined the **federal funds rate** (FFR), which textbooks define as the interest rate that banks charge each other to borrow or lend reserves in the federal funds market.4

Importantly, the FFR is the **policy rate** that the FOMC uses to set the stance of monetary policy in pursuit of its objectives. More specifically, the Fed sets a target for the FFR and then uses monetary policy tools (explained below) to influence the market-determined FFR to move toward the FFR target. That is, the Fed uses its tools to influence the interest rate that banks charge each other in the federal funds market to move toward the FFR target.
Figure 2 depicts the money market diagram that may be familiar to teachers and professors. The diagram captures the relationship between reserves and the FFR. The downward-sloping demand curve represents banks’ demand for reserves. The top of the curve is capped by the Fed’s discount rate, which acts like a ceiling for the FFR because banks would be unlikely to borrow funds at a higher rate than they could get at the Fed’s discount window. The demand curve slopes down to capture the idea that as the cost of borrowing decreases, banks are willing to borrow more funds to increase their holdings of reserves. The supply curve is vertical because only the Fed can supply reserves. The intersection of the two lines determines the FFR.

When reserves are limited (or scarce), relatively small increases or decreases in the supply of reserves shift the supply curve right (left) and result in a lower (higher) FFR as follows:

- To raise the FFR, the Fed decreases the supply of reserves by selling U.S. Treasury securities in the open market. The decrease in reserves shifts the supply curve left, resulting in a higher FFR.

- To lower the FFR, the Fed increases the supply of reserves by buying U.S. Treasury securities in the open market. The increase in reserves shifts the supply curve right, resulting in a lower FFR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>In practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reserve requirements</td>
<td>Funds that banks must hold in cash, either in their vaults or on deposit at a Federal Reserve Bank.</td>
<td>Reserve requirements were an important tool driving demand for bank reserves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open market operations</td>
<td>The buying and selling of government securities by the Federal Reserve.</td>
<td>Open market operations were the principal tool of monetary policy. Purchases (sales) increased (decreased) the supply of reserves, which shifted the supply of reserves left (right), thereby influencing where supply intersected demand, and lowered (raised) the FFR (see Figure 2). Open market operations were used daily to fine-tune the market-determined FFR to the FOMC’s FFR target.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>The interest rate charged by the Federal Reserve to banks for loans obtained through the Fed’s discount window.</td>
<td>The discount rate is an administered rate set by the Fed. It is the interest rate charged to banks on their loans from the Fed’s discount window, which was in place primarily for emergency lending. The rate was set above the FFR target to help cap the interest rates banks paid in the federal funds market; that is, banks could borrow from the Fed at this rate instead of having to pay a higher rate. In practice, because borrowing from the Fed tends to be perceived as a stigma, banks tended not to borrow at the discount window. The spread between the discount rate and the FFR target tended to be held constant because the Fed would typically adjust the discount rate at the same time the FOMC adjusted the FFR target.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Fed’s buying and selling of U.S. Treasury securities is referred to as open market operations, and it was the primary tool the Fed used to adjust the FFR and move the economy toward maximum employment and stable prices prior to 2008. In this framework, the Fed tended to use daily open market operations to fine-tune the location of the supply curve and keep the FFR at the FOMC’s target. The Fed tended to keep the spread between the discount rate and FFR roughly constant. So, when the FOMC raised or lowered its policy target, the Fed also raised or lowered the discount rate.

In summary, prior to 2008, monetary policy focused on influencing the supply of reserves in the banking system (using daily open market operations) as a means for adjusting the FFR. Table 1 notes how textbooks and curricula have usually described monetary policy in a limited-reserves framework and also notes how it worked in practice before 2008.

### How the Financial Crisis Changed Monetary Policy

In response to economic and financial conditions in 2008, the Federal Reserve lowered the FFR target to near zero. And, it also shifted from setting a single FFR target to setting a FFR target range, with the upper and lower limits on the range consistently 0.25 percentage points apart. For example, starting in December 2008, the target range was set at 0 to 25 basis points (i.e., 0.0 percent to 0.25 percent). But even with that low range, the economy still needed more stimulus. As a result, between 2008 and 2014 the Fed conducted a series of large-scale asset-purchase programs to lower longer-term interest rates, ease broader financial market conditions, and thus support economic activity and job creation. In particular, the Fed purchased a sizable amount of longer-term securities issued by the U.S. government and issued or guaranteed by government-sponsored agencies such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. These purchases not only increased the Fed’s level of securities holdings but also increased the total level of reserves in the banking system from around $15 billion in 2007 to about $2.7 trillion in late 2014. At this point, reserves were no longer limited but instead quite plentiful, or “ample.”

The Financial Crisis also resulted in the implementation of new monetary policy tools. The most significant was IOR. Congress had given the Fed authority to pay interest on reserves in 2006, with a start date of 2011. The start date was pushed up to October 2008 so the Fed could use the tool during the Financial Crisis.

Interest on reserves applies to both required reserves (IORR) and excess reserves (IOER).

- **IORR:** Prior to the Financial Crisis, when banks held their required reserves on deposit at Federal Reserve Banks they received no interest compensation, which they recognized as a lost opportunity to earn interest elsewhere. For this reason, it was seen as regulation that imposed an “implicit tax” on banks. IORR eliminated the implicit tax on reserve requirements.

- **IOER:** Because banks did not receive interest on their reserves held at the Fed, banks often minimized their holdings of excess reserves. The Fed’s decision to start paying IOER changed the incentives for the marginal excess dollar in reserves. The IOER rate became a tool to influence banks to hold more or fewer excess reserves. For example, a higher IOER rate relative to market (alternative) interest rates increased the incentive for banks to hold excess reserves at the Fed. As such, IOER gave the Fed a powerful new tool for implementing monetary policy.

Since late November 2008, IORR and IOER have been set to the same rate. And, effective March 26, 2020, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System announced that it was reducing reserve requirements to zero, making IORR effectively irrelevant. Given both these factors, one can think of this policy tool as setting a single rate—IOR.

As the economy recovered from the Great Recession, the Fed took steps to reduce the supply of reserves from its peak in October 2014 of about $2.7 trillion. Over the next few years, the Fed reduced reserves to about $1.7 trillion. They still remained ample, however. In fact, in January 2019, the FOMC released a statement saying it would

---

**Table 2: Monetary Policy Acronyms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal funds rate</td>
<td>FFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Open Market Committee</td>
<td>FOMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on excess reserves</td>
<td>IOER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on reserves</td>
<td>IOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on required reserves</td>
<td>IORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight reverse repurchase agreement</td>
<td>ON RRP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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In the ample-reserves framework, the Federal Reserve raises (lowers) its administered rates to move the FFR higher (lower). Small shifts of the supply curve have little or no effect on the FFR rate.

continue to implement policy with ample reserves in the long run.10,11

The New Framework: Monetary Policy with Ample Reserves

When there is a large quantity of reserves in the banking system, the Federal Reserve can no longer influence the FFR by making relatively small changes in the supply of reserves (Figure 3). That is, because the supply curve intersects the flat (horizontal) portion of the demand curve, small shifts in the supply curve to the right or left will not move the FFR higher or lower. Rather, in the ample-reserves framework, the Fed uses its administered rates, which include the IOR rate and the overnight reverse repurchase agreement (ON RRP) rate, to influence the FFR. This section describes how this new framework works.

Figure 3 shows the demand and supply curves in the current ample-reserves framework. The top of the demand curve is still influenced by the Fed’s discount rate. Now, however, the demand curve turns flat near the Fed’s new administered rates, which helps steer the FFR into the FOMC’s target range. The supply curve is such that it intersects the demand curve where it is flat. With this “ample” level of reserves, the Fed does not need to make daily open market operations to purchase or sell securities, as it did in the limited-reserves framework to hit the FFR target (because now small shifts of the supply curve have little or no effect on the FFR rate).

Banks seek to earn a return on their money, and they have several options. One is to deposit excess cash at their Federal Reserve Bank (reserves) and earn interest. Another option is to lend the excess cash out in the federal funds market and earn the agreed-upon FFR. A third option is to invest the cash in Treasury bills and earn the given Treasury bill rate.

The primary tool for keeping the FFR in its target range and driving the demand curve flat is the IOR rate. Although banks have several short-term investment options for their money (Figure 4), the IOR rate offers them a safe overnight option. Because IOR makes cash deposited at the Fed a risk-free investment option, banks are unlikely to lend reserves in the federal funds market for less than the IOR rate. In other words, the IOR serves as a reservation rate for banks—the lowest rate that banks are likely willing to accept for lending out their funds. And, if the FFR were to fall very far below the IOR rate, banks would be likely to borrow in the federal funds market and deposit those reserves at the Fed, earning a profit on the difference. This is known as arbitrage, an important aspect of the way
financial markets, and monetary policy, work. Arbitrage ensures that the FFR does not fall much below IOR.

These financial incentives (i.e., the reservation rate and arbitrage) are such that when the Fed raises or lowers the IOR rate, the FFR also moves up or down. As such, the Federal Reserve can steer the FFR into the target range set by the FOMC by adjusting the IOR rate. Currently, IOR is the primary tool used by the Fed for influencing the FFR.

However, not all institutions with reserve accounts can earn interest on their deposits at the Federal Reserve and not all important institutions in financial markets are allowed to have an account at the Fed. This leaves the possibility that important short-term rates (including the FFR) might drop below the IOR rate. So, in 2014, the FOMC announced that it intended to use the ON RRP facility to help control the FFR. The ON RRP facility is a form of open market operations where the Fed stands ready to interact with many nonbank financial institutions, such as large money market funds and government-sponsored enterprises. When one of these institutions uses the ON RRP facility, it essentially deposits reserves at the Fed overnight, receiving a security as collateral. The next day the transaction is “unwound”—the Fed buys back the security and the institution earns the ON RRP rate (which the Fed sets) on the cash it deposited at the Fed (Figure 5).

Because this is a risk-free investment option, the given institutions will likely never be willing to lend funds for lower than the ON RRP rate. As such, the ON RRP rate acts as a reservation rate and institutions can use it to arbitrage other short-term rates. Thus, the rate paid on ON RRP transactions, which is set below the IOR rate, acts like a floor for the FFR and serves as a supplementary policy tool.

Table 3 provides a summary of the tools used in an ample-reserves framework. These concepts should be covered in textbooks and curricula. In fact, the IOR and ON RRP rates should be the focus of policy implementation discussions, with open market operations mentioned as a maintenance tool. This discussion has quite a different focus from that in the limited-reserves framework.

During recent times of severe stress, the ample-reserves framework has continued to support the implementation of monetary policy. The Fed’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic has been similar to its response to the Financial Crisis of 2007-09. With the ultimate goal of stimulating the economy, it has lowered the FFR target range to 0 to 25 basis points; lowered the IOR and ON RRP rates to near zero; and taken other, often unconventional, actions to...
help markets function, support credit flows to households and businesses, and lower longer-term interest rates.\textsuperscript{16} The majority of the Fed’s special facilities enabled it to buy, lend, or swap less-liquid financial assets in return for reserves. Now, as during the Financial Crisis of 2007-09, these actions have shifted the supply curve in Figure 3 to the right and resulted in reserves becoming quite abundant. Though these actions were quite quick and substantial, implementation of monetary policy remained smooth as the Fed continued to operate in its existing (ample-reserves) implementation framework.

### Comparing the Ample-Reserves Framework with the Limited-Reserves Framework

The classic model for teaching monetary policy in the classroom features a money supply and demand model. A key difference between the limited-reserves framework and the ample-reserves framework lies in where the supply curve intersects the demand curve.

1. In the limited-reserves framework (Panel A of Figure 6), the supply curve intersects the demand curve on the downward sloping part of the demand curve. In this region, relatively small shifts of the supply curve to the right (left) move the FFR rate lower (higher).

2. In the ample-reserves framework (Panel B of Figure 6), the supply curve intersects the demand curve on the flat portion of the demand curve. In this region, relatively small shifts of the supply curve have little or no effect on the FFR rate.

Based on the supply of reserves, the Fed uses different primary tools to steer interest rates into the desired range.

- The limited-reserves framework (see Panel A of Figure 6) leans on the Federal Reserve’s use of open market operations to make adjustments to the supply of reserves to ensure the market FFR is at the FOMC’s FFR target. This tool’s effect on the FFR requires students to understand the abstract topic of how open market...
operations work and then link this action back to the demand-supply diagram.

- The ample-reserves framework (see Panel B of Figure 6) relies on the Federal Reserve’s administered rates—in particular the IOR rate—to influence the FFR. The linkage between the Fed’s tools and the FFR requires an understanding of how banks can arbitrage across investment options.

Explaining the implementation of monetary policy is often a focus of textbooks, standards, and curricula. Discussion of the monetary policies tools used, then, needs to differ according to the policy framework. For example, say the FOMC has lowered the target for the FFR rate. How does the Fed ensure that this lower target is transmitted to financial markets? Let’s consider the key tools used in each framework.\(^\text{17}\)

In the limited-reserves framework, the Fed would purchase securities in the open market to influence the FFR rate to move toward the new lower target. More specifically, as shown in Figure 7, the New York Fed’s Open Market Trading Desk would use open market operations: It would purchase government securities from its primary dealers, who sell these securities on behalf of their clients (investors). The money received from the sales would then be deposited in banks, resulting in an increase in the level of reserves in the banking system. The increase in the level of reserves...
In the limited-reserves framework, when the Federal Reserve used open market operations to increase the level of reserves in the banking system, the vertical supply curve would shift to the right, and the new intersection of the supply and demand curves would result in a lower FFR. The Fed also tended to lower the discount rate to keep the spread between the discount rate and the FFR target constant.

In an ample-reserves framework, when the Federal Reserve lowers its administered rates, the end points of the demand curve shift down. The vertical supply curve is unchanged. The demand curve intersects the supply curve at a lower FFR rate. In general, the Fed tends to lower all the administered rates by the same amount, keeping the spread between the rates constant.

(a rightward shift of the supply curve) would result in a decrease in the FFR, as shown in Figure 8.

In an ample-reserves framework, the Fed would lower its administered rates, in particular the IOR rate. This action would lower the reservation rate for reserves, putting downward pressure on the FFR.

Graphically, in the ample-reserves framework, as the Fed lowers its administered rates (including the discount rate) the endpoints of the demand curve shift down, as shown in Figure 9. The lower discount rate pushes down the top of the demand curve. The discount rate acts as a ceiling for the FFR because banks (aside from the perceived stigma) would be unlikely to borrow funds at a higher rate than they could borrow from the Fed at the discount window. The bottom of the demand curve shifts down to reflect the Fed’s lower reservation rates (the IOR and ON RRP rates) for depositing excess cash. This lower demand curve then intersects with the supply curve at a lower FFR.
Finally, one can see a difference in what each framework can do when the Fed is confronted with severe economic strains and needs to introduce unconventional tools. The use of large-scale asset purchases or emergency credit programs are needed during these times and result in very large increases in reserves in the banking system. Only one of the two frameworks would be able to implement such policies:

- A limited-reserves framework would no longer be functional. As we saw during the Financial Crisis of 2007-09, reserves became super abundant and the Fed had to shift to operating in an ample-reserves framework, using IOR as the key tool.

- An ample-reserves framework would continue to work as expected, as we saw with the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.

### Summary

The way the Fed implements monetary policy has changed. It is important for teachers and professors to understand the current framework so they are teaching their students accurately. This document has contrasted the old and new frameworks to support instructors in that endeavor.
Notes


2 In 1977, Congress amended the Federal Reserve Act, directing the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Open Market Committee to “maintain long run growth of the monetary and credit aggregates commensurate with the economy’s long run potential to increase production, so as to promote effectively the goals of maximum employment, stable prices and moderate long-term interest rates.”


4 On any given day, there are many transactions that settle at slightly different FFRs. The effective FFR is the volume-weighted median rate of these transactions. The effective FFR is the rate we use in the figures in this article.

5 Many textbooks link the money supply to interest rates. The inclusion of that link dates back to the 1970s and 1980s, when measures of the money supply exhibited fairly close relationships with important economic variables such as nominal gross domestic product and the price level. Based partly on these relationships, the Fed conducted monetary policy by targeting growth rates of various measures of money. Since the mid-1990s, however, these relationships have been quite unstable. As a result, the importance of the money supply as a guide for the FOMC in its conduct of monetary policy has diminished and the Fed has shifted to targeting the level of the FFR, which is directly influenced by the supply of reserves in the banking system.

6 The term “open market” refers to the fact that the Fed doesn’t buy securities directly from the U.S. Treasury. Instead, securities dealers compete in the open market based on price, submitting bids or offers to the Open Market Trading Desk of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York through an electronic auction system.

7 The Fed also implemented a number of credit and liquidity programs early on in the Financial Crisis to support financial institutions and foster improved conditions in financial markets. These special programs expired or were closed after some time.

8 For a description of the Fed’s large-scale asset purchases, see Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. “What Were the Federal Reserve’s Large-Scale Asset Purchases?” FAQs; https://www.federalreserve.gov/faqs/what-were-the-federal-reserves-large-scale-asset-purchases.htm.

9 This decision reflects the fact the Federal Reserve shifted to an ample-reserves framework, and reserve requirements are not necessarily a tool in this framework.


11 More recently, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, reserves have grown substantially. By April 2020, reserves expanded and stood above $3 trillion, at a higher level than their peak during the aftermath of the Great Recession.


16 A complete list of policy tools can be found at the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System website: https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/policytools.htm.

17 In most instances, the discount rate would be lowered (in each framework) to keep the spread between the discount rate and the FFR constant.
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