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Ideas

- Recent history of low inflation in the world.
- Conventional undergraduate macro theory of inflation – Phillips curves.
- Central bankers’ views of what is causing low inflation, and how to fix it.
- Observed Phillips curves.
- Neo-Fisherism and inflation.
- Low real interest rates.
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Conventional Undergraduate Macro Theory of Inflation

- IS curve:
  \[ y = a - \frac{1}{b}r \]

- Phillips curve:
  \[ i = d(y - y^*) + i^e \]

- Fisher relation:
  \[ R = r + i^e \]

- \( y = \) output; \( r = \) real interest rate; \( i = \) inflation rate; \( y^* = \) potential output; \( i^e = \) anticipated future inflation; \( R = \) nominal interest rate.

- Endogenous: \( i, y \). Exogenous: \( y^*, i^e, R \).
Output:

\[ y = a - \frac{1}{b}(R - i^e) \]

Inflation:

\[ i = \left(1 + \frac{d}{b}\right)i^e - \frac{d}{b}R - dy^* \]

So, increase in \( R \) reduces output and inflation.

Real interest rate goes up, output goes down, and inflation declines because of a Phillips curve effect.
Conventional Model
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Zero Lower Bound: Forward Guidance
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Zero Lower Bound: Negative Nominal Rate
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From the September 21, FOMC statement:

*The Committee judges that the case for an increase in the federal funds rate has strengthened but decided, for the time being, to wait for further evidence of continued progress toward its objectives. The stance of monetary policy remains accommodative, thereby supporting further improvement in labor market conditions and a return to 2 percent inflation.*

Seems consistent with the conventional model.

Low nominal interest rate means that inflation is expected to rise, though we might have to wait for it.
Another Example of Conventional Central Banking: Swedish Riksbank

From the Riksbank’s “Monetary Policy Report,” October 2016:

...monetary policy in Sweden has been characterised by low domestic inflation...
...The Riksbank has cut the repo rate to -0.50 per cent and carried out extensive purchases of government bonds...
...The aim of the expansionary monetary policy is to push up inflation and stabilise it around the target of 2 per cent and help keep inflation expectations in line with the inflation target...
...inflation has slowed down this year...
...When resource utilisation in the economy rises, it normally affects the rate of price increase with a certain time lag...
The Executive Board...assesses that the repo rate needs to be held at the current low level, -0.50 per cent, for six months longer than was assumed in September...
Phillips Curve?
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Even in models with a Phillips curve, higher $R$ can mean higher $i$.

Simple New Keynesian model with no aggregate uncertainty:

$$[\text{IS curve}] \quad y_t - y_{t+1} = -\frac{1}{b} (R_t - i_{t+1} - r^*)$$

$$[\text{Phillips curve}] \quad i_t = d (y_t - y^*)$$

$r^*$ = natural real rate of interest

Solve for inflation:

$$i_{t+1} = \frac{d}{b+d} (R_t - r^*) + \frac{b}{b+d} i_t$$
New Keynesian Model

- Fixed nominal interest rate $R$ forever implies Fisher relationship in the long run:
  \[ i = R - r^* \]

- Permanent increase in the nominal interest rate implies that inflation goes up – never goes down.
Increase in the Nominal Interest Rate
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Standard Taylor Rule

\[ R_t = \max[0, r^* + fi_t + (1 - f)i^*] \]

- \( \pi^* \) = inflation target.
- If \( f > 1 \), this implies two steady states: (i) \( i = i^* \) and \( R = r^* + i^* \); (ii) \( i = -r^* \) and \( R = 0 \).
- Can get stuck at the undesired steady state with low inflation and \( R = 0 \): central banker thinks that aggressively cutting rates will increase inflation, but it doesn’t happen.
Standard Taylor Rule Perils
A Better (and neo-Fisherian) Rule

- If $i_t < i^*$, $R_t = r^* + \frac{b+d}{d} \pi^* - \frac{b}{d} \pi_t$.
- If $i_t \geq i^*$, $R_t = r^* - \frac{b}{d} \pi^* + \frac{b+d}{d} \pi_{t+1}$.

First part of the rule responds to current inflation below target – brings inflation back to target next period.

Second part of the rule responds to current inflation potentially above target, by killing off high incipient future inflation that supports it, thus keeping current inflation on target.

Always increase interest rates in response to inflation off-target (but won’t always do it in equilibrium).
Neo-Fisherian Taylor Rule

\[ i_{t+1} = i_t \]
Empirical Regularities

- Observe the long-run Fisher relation in the data.
- Low-nominal interest rate countries tend to have low inflation rates:
  - Japan: more than 21 years of low nominal interest rates and low inflation.
  - Switzerland: one of the first countries with negative nominal interest rates – also has deflation.
  - Sweden: Riksbank’s forecasts of interest rates and inflation consistently miss on the low side.
- What do countries stuck in a low-inflation trap do? Unconventional monetary policy:
  - Negative nominal rates (on reserve balances) – neo-Fisherites say this just makes inflation lower.
  - Large scale asset purchases (quantitative easing) – not clear this does anything significant.
  - Forward guidance – in practice, this does not work so well, as promises not met.
Empirical Fisher Relation
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Low Real Interest Rates

- Causes?
  - Summers: Dearth of investment opportunities.
  - Bernanke: World savings glut.
  - Shortage of safe assets – makes real return on government debt low, not rate of return on capital.

- Implication: See higher inflation when nominal interest rates are low.
Real Interest Rate
Real Federal Funds Rate, Percent per Annum
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Conclusions

- Undergraduate macro and standard central bank practice say that raising nominal interest rates lowers inflation.
- Mainstream models (NK and others) and empirical evidence suggest otherwise.
- What’s the cost of getting it wrong?
  - Inflation stays below target – may not be so bad, particularly with persistent low real interest rates.
  - Effort and central bank credibility wasted on ineffective or harmful unconventional monetary policies.